

<b>Meza v Consolidated Edison Co. of N.Y.</b>
2008 NY Slip Op 03324 [50 AD3d 452]
April 15, 2008
Appellate Division, First Department
Published by <a href="#">New York State Law Reporting Bureau</a> pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.
As corrected through Wednesday, June 18, 2008

<b>Edelmira Meza, Appellant,</b> v <b>Consolidated Edison Company of New York et al., Respondents.</b>
--

—[\*1] Pollack, Pollack, Isaac & DeCicco, New York (Jillian Rosen of counsel), for appellant.

London Fischer, LLP, New York (Brian A. Kalman of counsel), for respondents.

Order, Supreme Court, Bronx County (Norma Ruiz, J.), entered on or about June 12, 2007, which granted defendants' motion for summary judgment dismissing the complaint, unanimously affirmed, without costs.

Defendants had no obligation to maintain a constantly dry floor during a snowstorm (*see Solazzo v New York City Tr. Auth.*, 21 AD3d 735 [2005]). Nor were they required to cover the entire floor with mats (*Garcia v Delgado Travel Agency*, 4 AD3d 204 [2004]).

We have considered plaintiff's remaining contentions and find them unavailing.  
Concur—Mazzarelli, J.P., Andrias, Friedman and Sweeny, JJ.